

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/069,258	BORG, THOMAS	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shane Bomar	3672	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Amended

 (1) Shane Bomar.

(3) _____.

 (2) Richard Conard.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 March 2004
Time: 1:30pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

9

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The amendment filed 2/25/2004 placed the application in condition for allowance, but it was noted that claim 9 did not further limit claim 1. The attorney was contacted regarding this and agreed to cancel claim 9 by examiner's amendment.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)